

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



we

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,222	12/10/2001	Christian Oliver Paschereit	033275-334	9002
7.	590 12/31/2003		EXAMI	INER
Robert S. Swecker			COCKS, JOSIAH C	
BURNS, DOA	NE, SWECKER & MA			
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	<del></del>
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	いレ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

wii			h e			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/006,222	PASCHEREIT ET A	L.			
,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Josiah C. Cocks	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re-	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) . The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) . The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the INTRO ACTION AND ACTION ASSESSED ASS	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)       they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:		\1 (6				

Josiah Cocks Patent Examiner Art Unit 3749

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/006,222

Application No.

## Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Applicant amended claim 5 to include the limitations of claims 6 and 7, however, claim 7 was dependent upon an intervening claim (claim 4). Applicant did not include the limitations of claim 7 and claim 4 in claim 5. The modification of claim 5 to now include the limitations that the shear layer fence is made of a sheet metal strip and attached to the edges of the partial members presents a claim that whose scope has not yet been considered. Accordingly, the claims would require further consideration and/or search.